EDWARD R. ROYCE, CALIFORNIA

CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH, New JERSEY LIEANA ROS-LEHTINEN, FLORIDA DANA ROHRABACHER, CALIFORNIA STEVE CHABOT, OHIO JOE WILSON, SOUTH CAROLINA MICHAEL T. MCCAUL, TEXAS TED POE, TEXAS MATT SALMON, ARIZONA TOM MARINO, PENSYLVANIA JEFF DUNCAN, SOUTH CAROLINA ADAM KINZINGER, ILLINOIS MO BROOKS, ALABAMA TOM COTTON, ARKANSAS PAUL COOK, CALIFORNIA GEORGE HOLDING, MORTH CAROLINA RANDY K. WEBER SR., TEXAS SCOTT PERRY, PENNSYLVANIA STEVE STOCKMAN, TEXAS RON DESANTIS, FLORIDA TREY RADEL, FLORIDA DUG COLLINS, GEORGIA MARK MEADOWS, NORTH CAROLINA TED S. YOHO, FLORIDA TED S. YOHO, FLORIDA

AMY PORTER

THOMAS SHEEHY



One Hundred Thirteenth Congress U.S. House of Representatives Committee on Foreign Affairs

2170 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, DC 20515

www.foreignaffairs.house.gov

ELIOT L. ENGEL, New YORK RANKING DEMOCRATIC MEMBER

ENI F.H. FALEOMAVAEGA, AMERICAN SAMOA BRAD SHERMAN, CALIFORNIA GREGORY W. MEEKS, NEW YORK ALBIO SIRES, NEW JERSEY GERALD E. CONNOLLLY, VIRGINIA THEODORE E. DEUTCH, FLORIDA BRIAN HIGGINS, NEW YORK KAREN BASS, CALIFORNIA WILLIAM KEATING, MASSACHUSETTS DAVID CICLILINE, RHODE ISLAND ALAN GRAYSON, FLORIDA JUAN VARGAS, CALIFORNIA BRADLEY S. SCHNEIDER, ILLINOIS JOSEPH P. KENNEDY III, MASSACHUSETTS AMI BERA, CALIFORNIA GRACE MENG, NEW YORK LOIS FRANKEL, FLORIDA TULSI GABBARD, HAWAII JOAQUUN CASTRO, TEXAS

JASON STEINBAUM DEMOCRATIC STAFF DIRECTOR

August 2, 2013

General Martin Dempsey Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff 9999 Joint Staff Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20318-9999

Dear General Dempsey:

After reviewing your July 19th letter to Senate Armed Services Committee Chairman Levin outlining options for the use of U.S. military force in the Syrian conflict, I am writing to request further clarification regarding the possible use of limited stand-off strikes.

Specifically, your letter states that conducting limited stand-off strikes targeting "high-value regime air defense, air, ground, missile, and naval forces as well as the supporting military facilities and command nodes" would require "hundreds of aircraft, ships, submarines, and other enablers" and that "the costs would be in the billions."

While I do not profess to be a military expert, it is clear that this analysis does not fully reflect an even more limited option that some have advocated, which would involve cruise missile or other stand-off weapon strikes on regime-controlled air bases.

While a more limited strike of this nature would not degrade the regime's military capabilities to the same extent as the option outlined in your letter, it could help prevent the Assad regime from using aircraft against innocent civilians and members of the opposition that the Administration has decided to train and arm. It could also help reduce the flow of arms from Iran, Russia and others that continue to assist the Assad regime.

It stands to reason that this even more limited stand-off strike option would come at lower cost to taxpayers than the options outlined in your letter, and could be accomplished with fewer military assets. Most importantly, it would not involve putting any US boots on the ground or in Syrian airspace.

As Congress continues to consider the pros and cons of U.S. military involvement in the Syria conflict -- which has resulted in more than 100,000 deaths, generated millions of refugees and

General Martin Dempsey The Pentagon August 2, 2013

internally displaced persons, and increasingly threatens to destabilize the entire region -- I respectfully request that you provide me with additional information regarding the force requirements and estimated costs associated with a more limited stand-off strike option focused on degrading regime-controlled air bases.

Sincerely,

ELIOT L. ENGEL

Ranking Member